

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/809,062	PATZKE, JURGEN	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Maureen M. Wallenhorst	1743	

All Participants:

(1) Maureen M. Wallenhorst.

(2) Carol Einaudi (Appl. Rep.).

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 23 March 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

28, 40, 51 and 60

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

It was agreed to make minor changes in claims 28, 40, 51 and 60 in order to provide further clarification and to place the application in condition for allowance. See Examiner's Amendment.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)